Page

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 6
Command Grant Management

Command: West Los Angeles	Division: SOUTHERN	Number:
Evaluated by: SERGEANT B. J. WAGNER Belly by 228		Date: 12/21/2009
Assisted by:		Date:

applicable legal statues, or deficiencies noted in the inspections shall be commented on via the "Remarks" section. Additionally, such discrepancies and/or deficiencies shall be documented on an Exceptions Document and addressed to the next level of command. Furthermore, the Exceptions Document shall include any follow-up and/or corrective action(s) taken. If this form is used as a Follow-up Inspection, the "Follow-up Inspection" box shall be marked and only deficient items need to be re-inspected. Lead Inspector's Signature: TYPE OF INSPECTION ☐ Division Level □ Command Level ☐ Executive Office Level ☐ Voluntary Self-Inspection Date: Commander's Signature: Follow-up Required: ☐ Follow-up Inspection 1-5-10 Yes \bowtie No For applicable policy, refer to: GO 40.6 Note: If a "No" or "N/A" box is checked, the "Remarks" section shall be utilized for explanation 1. If the commander became aware that another agency or organization is proposing or has submitted \bowtie N/A ☐ Yes □ No Remarks: This command has not experienced this situation. a grant application to a funding agency other than the Office of Traffic Safety (OTS) that appears to focus on traffic safety goals clearly within the jurisdiction of the Department, did the commander notify the appropriate assistant commissioner? Has OTS grant funding, through the Highway Safety Plan, been sought for traffic safety-related activities ☐ Yes □ No \bowtie N/A Remarks: Southern Division for the purpose of conducting inventories, need and personnel. engineering studies, system development or program implementations? Has the command sought grant funding to assist with the expenses associated with the priority programs ☐ No □ N/A Remarks: Area works with Southern Division to ensure this is identified by the National Highway Traffic Safety being completed. Administration? Has the commander ensured grant funds are not being reallocated to fund other programs or used for □ No \square N/A Remarks: Commander reviews and approves the overtime reports on a non-reimbursable overtime expenditures? monthly basis. During this review, he ensures grant funds are not misused. Are concept papers regarding grant funding submitted through channels to Grants Management Yes ☐ No ⊠ N/A Remarks: Southern Division personnel. Unit (GMU)? Was GMU contacted to determine the current ☐ Yes \bowtie N/A personnel billing rates used for grant projects when □ No Remarks: Southern Division personnel. preparing concept paper budgets?

INSTRUCTIONS: Answer individual items with "Yes" or "No" answers, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Any discrepancies with policy,

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 6 Command Grant Management

7.	Is supporting documentation of consent and acceptance (of the work, goods, or services provided by the state on behalf of a local government agency as required by 23 Code of Federal Regulations Part 1250) being submitted to OTS for all grant projects coded as "for local benefit"?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area has no equipment from OTS grants "for local benefit."
8.	Were all copies of the grant project agreements, revisions, and claim invoices signed by the Project Director, or designated alternate?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: See above.
9.	Were all inquiries or correspondence concerning the availability of grant funds or other contacts with grant funding agencies coordinated/processed through GMU?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area does not get these inquires.
	Are all expenditures of grant funds approved by GMU prior to entering into any obligations, with the exception of personnel costs?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Southern Division personnel.
 11.	Are quarterly progress reports forwarded though channels to GMU in accordance with the instructions contained in the associated project MOU?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Quarterly reports are forwarded to GMY through channels.
12.	Are all requirements of the grant agreement and MOU being met?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
13.	Is a final project report being prepared in accordance with the funding agency and departmental requirements upon the termination of the grant project?	⊠ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
14.	Does every invoice associated with a grant funded project contain the project number and name?	⊠ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Are all purchases of grant-funded equipment acquired under an OTS grant exceeding a unit cost of \$5,000 being documented on an Equipment Report, Form OTS-25?	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area has no equipment from OTS grants "for local benefit."
	Has grant funded equipment been inspected to ensure it is being utilized in accordance with the respective grant agreement?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Area has no equipment from OTS grants "for local benefit."
17.	Are applications for federal funds in accordance with Government Code Section 13326 including obtaining approval from the Department of Finance and/or the Governor's office prior to submission to the appropriate federal authority? This would include any of the following: • Applications for federal funds which are not included in the budget approved by the Governor. • Applications for federal funds which exceed the amount specified in the budget.	☐ Yes	□No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Southern Division personnel.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAM INSPECTION CHECKLIST

Chapter 6 Command Grant Management

18	Is a federal Standard Form 424, Application for Federal Assistance, filed with the State Clearinghouse for all approved unbudgeted grant requests received by the Department of Finance?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Southern Division personnel.
19	. Has any request for unanticipated federal funds met the criteria for legislative notification set forth in Control Section 28.00 of the annual Budget Act?	☐ Yes	☐ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Southern Division personnel.
	Are grant funds being used for their intended purpose?	⊠ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks: Commander reviews and approves the overtime reports on a monthly basis. During this review, he ensures grant funds are not misused.
21	Are grant applications related to the Motor Carrier Safety Assistance Program (MCSAP) being routed through the Commercial Vehicle Section before they are submitted to the funding agency?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Southern Division personnel.
22.	Are grant applications related to the Homeland Security Grant Program being routed through the Emergency Operations Section before they are submitted to the funding agency?	☐ Yes	□ No	⊠ N/A	Remarks: Southern Division personnel.
Questi	ons 23 through 26 pertain to the Grants Managemen	it Unit			
	Has GMU prepared an annual Management Memorandum to be disseminated to all commanders soliciting participation in the Department's Highway Safety Program?	☐ Yes	□No	□ N/A	Remarks:
24.	Did GMU send the concept paper as an attachment to a memorandum through the Planning and Analysis Division to Assistant Commissioner, Field, and Assistant Commissioner, Staff, and their Executive Assistants?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
	Did GMU route copies of the Draft Grant Agreement using the CHP Form 60, Staff Summary Statement, to all commands with responsibility for or that have an interest in the project?	☐ Yes	□ No	□ N/A	Remarks:
26.	Was a Memorandum of Understanding between involved commands outlining the responsibilities of each command prepared and distributed by GMU?	☐ Yes	☐ No	□ N/A	Remarks:

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 1 of 3

Command: West Los Angeles	Division: Southern	Chapter:
Inspected by: B. J. V	Vagner Occ.	Date: 1-9-10

INSTRUCTIONS: This document shall be typed. Check appropriate boxes as necessary, or fill in the blanks as indicated. Enter the chapter number of the inspection in the Chapter Inspection number. Under "Forward to:" enter the next level of command where the document shall be routed to and its due date. This document shall be utilized to document innovative practices, suggestions for statewide improvement, identified deficiencies, corrective action plans. A CHP 51 Memorandum may be used if additional space is required.

TYPE OF INSPECTION Division Level Command Level Executive Office Level		Total hours expended on the inspection: 2 hours.		☐ Corrective Action Plan Included ☐ Attachments Included
Follow-up Required:	Forwa	rd to:		
☐ Yes	Due D	ate:		
Chapter Inspection:				
Inspector's Comments Regar	ding Ir	nnovative Practices	S :	
None.				
Command Suggestions for S	tatewic	de Improvement:		
None.				

Inspector's Findings:

The West Los Angeles Area's overtime is managed by one Special Duty officer. Her duties include overtime, back up evidence officer, facilities and grant management. She has a comprehensive system in place to ensure overtime is equitably disseminated and properly tracked. The Area files contain all signed contracts which display prices and signatures of the companies who are reimbursing the Department for services. All overtime daily records (CHP A415's) reviewed contain the contract number and name of contractor and are electronically signed by a supervisor prior to being submitted for billing. The Area Commander ensures he reviews and approves the monthly overtime reports, prior to their being submitted to Southern Division.

West Los Angeles Area has not sought out grant funding independently, however, the Area does utilize Cal-GRIP funds. Use of funds is overseen and managed by an Area lieutenant. The Area works closely with Southern Division and provides input toward concept papers and grant proposals.

Camanandaria Daananaa	□ Concur or □ Do Not Concur (Do Not Concur shall document basis for response)
FCommanders Response:	IXI CONCUE OF ELLO INOT CONCUE (DO NOT CONCUE SNAIL GOCUMENT DASIS TOF (ESPONSE).
	22 001.00. 0. 2 001.00. (201.00. 001.00. en anti-

None required.

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 2 of 3

Command: West Los	Division: Southern	Chapter:	
Angeles			
Inspected by: B. J. Wag	jner	Date:	

Inspector's Comments: Shall address non concurrence by commander (e.g., findings revised, findings unchanged, etc.)

STATE OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CALIFORNIA HIGHWAY PATROL

COMMAND INSPECTION PROGRAMEXCEPTIONS DOCUMENT

Page 3 of 3

Command:	Division:	Chapter:	
West Los	Southern		
Angeles		-	
Inspected by: B. J. \	<i>N</i> agner	Date:	7.00
Bellyn	ore		

	Control of the Contro
Required Action	
Corrective Action Plan/Timeline	

None.

	Λ /	
Employee would like to discuss this report with	COMMANDER'S SIGNATURE	DATE
the reviewer.	El Munor	11570
(See HPM 9.1, Chapter 8 for appeal procedures.)	W / 5 / 7//	1
	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE	DATE
	Belly Wages	1-9-10
Reviewer discussed this report with	REVIEWER'S SIGNATURE ()	DATE
employee	·*	
☐ Concur ☐ Do not concur		